

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

T-MOBILE USA, INC.

Defendant,

ERICSSON INC., NOKIA OF AMERICA
CORPORATION,

Intervenors,

CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00477-JRG-RSP
(LEAD CASE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON
WIRELESS

Defendant,

ERICSSON INC., NOKIA OF AMERICA
CORPORATION,

Intervenors,

Case No. 2:22-cv-00478-JRG-RSP
(Member Case)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AT&T SERVICES INC.; AT&T MOBILITY LLC;
AND AT&T CORP.

Defendants,

ERICSSON INC., NOKIA OF AMERICA
CORPORATION,

Intervenors.

CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00474-JRG-RSP
(Member Case)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC'S RESPONSE TO NOKIA OF
AMERICA CORPORATION'S COUNTERCLAIMS IN INTERVENTION REGARDING
VERIZON**

Plaintiff Cobblestone Wireless, LLC ("Cobblestone" or "Plaintiff") hereby answers the Counterclaims of Intervenor Nokia of America Corporation ("Nokia" or "Intervenor") regarding Defendant Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless. ("Defendant" or "Verizon") as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Admit.
2. Admit.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Admit that Intervenor's counterclaims arise under the patent laws of the United States and that Intervenor seeks certain declaratory relief. Deny that Intervenor is entitled to any such relief. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

4. Admit that Intervenor seeks certain declaratory relief. Deny that Intervenor is entitled to any such relief. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

5. Admit.

6. Admit that venue is proper in this district. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

7. Admit.
8. Admit.
9. Admit.

10. Plaintiff lacks sufficient knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis denies them.

11. Admit that Nokia asserts that the '347, '888, and '361 Patents are invalid. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

12. Admit that Nokia denies that T-Mobile has infringed the '347, '888, and '361 Patents. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

13. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '347, '888, and '361 Patents. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

14. Admit that Nokia brings counterclaims of non-infringement as to the '347, '888, and '361 Patents. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

**FIRST COUNTERCLAIM DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S.
PATENT NO. 8,891,347**

15. Plaintiff realleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1-14 as though fully set forth herein.

16. Admit that Plaintiff's allegations in its Complaint speak for themselves. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

17. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '347 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

18. Deny.

19. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '347 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

20. Deny.

**SECOND COUNTERCLAIM – DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 9,094,888**

21. Plaintiff realleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1-20 as though fully set forth herein.

22. Admit that Plaintiff's allegations in its Complaint speak for themselves. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

23. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '888 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

24. Deny.

25. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '347 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

26. Deny.

**THIRD COUNTERCLAIM – DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S.
PATENT NO. 10,368,361**

27. Plaintiff realleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1-26 as though fully set forth herein.

28. Admit that Plaintiff's allegations in its Complaint speak for themselves. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

29. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '361 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

30. Deny.

31. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '347 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

32. Deny.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Cobblestone prays for the following relief with respect to Intervenor's counterclaims:

A. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff on all counterclaims asserted by Intervenor;

- B. An adjudication that Intervenor is not entitled to any relief on its counterclaims, including, without limitation, any fine or damages; and
- C. A dismissal with prejudice of Intervenor's counterclaims;
- D. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees against Intervenor;
- E. Plaintiff's costs of suit against Intervenor; and
- F. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Cobblestone demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: May 3, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Reza Mirzaie

Reza Mirzaie

CA State Bar No. 246953

Marc A. Fenster

CA State Bar No. 181067

Neil A. Rubin

CA State Bar No. 250761

Christian W. Conkle

CA State Bar No. 306374

Jonathan Ma

CA State Bar No. 312773

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT

12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025

Telephone: 310-826-7474

Email: rmirzaie@raklaw.com

Email: mfenster@raklaw.com

Email: nrubin@raklaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on May 3, 2023, all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system pursuant to Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

/s/ Reza Mirzaie
Reza Mirzaie